### Norio Yamauchi

#### Abstract

Let k be a field of characteristic 0 and  $K = k(X_1, \ldots, K_n)$  a rational function field over k in n variables. In this paper we study the field  $K^{\delta}$  of constants for a linear k-derivation  $\delta$  of K. The main result is THEOREM 4.3, which gives an explicit description of generetons of  $K^{\delta}$  over k when k is algebraically closed.

Received Apr. 28, 1995

Key words: linear derivation, field of constants.

## 1 Jordan decomposition

Let k be a field and  $K = k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  a rational function field over k. We set  $D_0 = \{\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_k(K) \mid \delta(X_i) \text{ is a linear form in } X_1, \ldots, X_n \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $V = kX_1 + \cdots + kX_n \subset K$ . There exists an isomorphism  $D_0 \to \operatorname{End}_k(V)$  of k-vector spaces given by  $\delta \mapsto \varphi_{\delta} = \text{the restriction of } \delta \text{ to } V$ . Its inverse is given by  $\varphi \mapsto \delta_{\varphi} = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i) \partial/\partial X_i$  for  $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_k(V)$ .

Let  $\delta \in D_0$ . We say  $\delta$  is semisimple (or diagonal or nilpotent) if  $\varphi_{\delta}$  has the same property (as a k-endmorphism). Using the Jordan decomposition of  $\varphi_{\delta}$  and the isomorphisms above, we see that there exist  $\delta_s$ ,  $\delta_n \in D_0$  satisfying the conditions :  $\delta = \delta_s + \delta_n$ ,  $\delta_s$  is semisimple and  $\delta_n$  is nilpotent. Thus we have the following, which shows that when k is algebraically closed, any liner k-derivation can be expressed in the 'canonical' form:

LEMMA 1.1 With the same notation as above, assume that k contains all the roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $\varphi_{\delta}$ . Then we can chose a set  $\{X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0s}, X_{10}, \ldots, X_{1l_1}, \ldots, X_{t0}, \ldots, X_{tl_t}\}$  of generators of K over k such that

$$\delta(X_{0i}) = \lambda_i X_{0i} \ (i = 1, \ldots, s),$$

$$\delta(X_{i0}) = \mu_i X_{i0}, \ \delta(X_{ij}) = \mu_i X_{ij} + X_{ij-1}, \ (i = 1, \ldots, t, j = 1, \ldots, l_i).$$

Throughout the rest of this paper, k denotes a field of characteristic 0. For a field F and a derivation  $\Delta$  of F we denote by  $F^{\Delta}$  the field of constants for  $\Delta$ , that is,  $F^{\Delta} = \{f \in F | \Delta(f) = 0\}$ .

## 2 Diagonal case

In this section we alway assume that  $K = k(X_1, ..., X_n)$  is a rational function field over k and that  $\delta$  is a k-derivation of K such that  $\delta(X_i) = \lambda_i X_i$  for some  $\lambda_i \in k$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ .

First we recall the notion of the multidegree of a polynomial. Let  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in N} n_{\alpha_{\alpha}} X^{\alpha}$   $\in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  be a nonzero polynomial. The term  $a^{\alpha}X^{\alpha}$  is called the homogeneous term of f of multidegree  $\alpha$  and is denoted by  $f_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\prec$  denote the lexicographic order of  $N^n$ . The multidegree m-deg(f) of f (with respect to  $\prec$ ) is  $\max\{\alpha \in N^n | a_{\alpha} \neq 0\}$ , where max is taken with respect to  $\prec$ . When m-deg $(f) = \alpha$ ,  $f_{\alpha}$  is called the leading term of f. We note that m-deg $(\delta(f)) \leq \text{m-deg}(f)$  because  $\delta(X^{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \lambda_i X^{\alpha}$  for a monomial  $X^{\alpha} = X_{1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_{n}^{\alpha_n}$ .

Sinece  $\delta$  is a k-endomorpophism of K, we can consider eigenvalues, eigenvectors and eigenspaces of  $\delta$ . We write  $V(\lambda)$  for the eigenspace of  $\delta$ , belonging to  $\lambda$ . We note that  $V(0) = K^{\delta}$  and that  $V(\lambda)$  is not only a k-vector space but also a  $K^{\delta}$ -vector space. If  $f, g \in V(\lambda)$  and  $g \neq 0$  then  $f/g \in K^{\delta}$ . Hence  $\dim_{K^{\delta}} V(\lambda) = 1$  if  $V(\lambda) \neq 0$ .

For a vector  $m=(m_1,\ldots,m_m)\in \mathbf{Z}^n$ , we have  $\delta(X^m)=\sum_{i=1}^n m_i\lambda_iX^m$  and hence  $X^m$  is an eigenvector of  $\delta$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i\lambda_i$  is an eigenvalue of  $\delta$ .

LEMMA 2.1 Let  $f, g \in k[X_1, ..., X_n]$  be nonzero polynomials such that  $f/g \in X(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in k$ . Let  $f_{\alpha} = aX^{\alpha}$  and  $g_{\beta} = bX^{\beta}$  be the leading terms of f and g, respectively. Then we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \lambda_i = \lambda$  and  $f_{\alpha}/g_{\beta} \in V(\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* By the assumption we have  $\delta(f)g - f\delta(g) = \lambda fg$ . It is immediate to see that  $(\delta(f)g - f\delta(g))_{\alpha+\beta} = ab\Sigma_{i=1}^n(\alpha_i-\beta_i)\lambda_i X^{\alpha+\beta}$  and that  $(\lambda fg)_{\alpha+\beta} = ab\lambda X^{\alpha+\beta}$ . Hence we have  $\Sigma_{i=1}^n(\alpha_i-\beta_i)\lambda_i = \lambda$  and  $f_\alpha/g_\beta = (a/b)X^{\alpha-\beta} \in V(\lambda)$ .

COROLLARY 2.2 If  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are linearly independent over Q then  $K^{\delta} = k$ .

*Proof.* We use the same notation as in the lemma with  $\lambda = 0$ . By the lemma  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \lambda_i = 0$ . Hence m-deg(f) = m-deg(g) by the assumption that  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are

linearly independent over Q. Since  $(bf - ag)/g = b(f/g) - a \in K^{\delta}$  we have m-deg (bf - ag) = m-deg(g) if  $bf - ag \neq 0$ . But it is obvious that if  $bf - af \neq 0$  then m -deg(bf - ag) < m-deg(f). Hence we have bf - af = 0,  $f/g = a/b \in k$ .

COROLLARY 2.3 Let  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \lambda_i$  be an eigenvalue of  $\delta$  with  $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then  $V(\lambda) = K^{\delta}X^m$ .

The following lemma is well known (see e. g. Kunz[1] §5 Exercise 1)).

LEMMA 2.4 Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then the monomials  $X^{v_1}, \ldots, X^{v_n}$  are algebraically independent over k if and only if  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now we can prove the following, which gives generators of the field of constans for a diagonal derivation:

PROPOSITION 2.5 Let  $N = \{ (m_1, \ldots, m_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \Sigma_{i=1}^n m_i \lambda_i = 0 \}$ . Then  $K^{\delta} = k (\{X^m \mid m \in N\})$ .

*Proof.* We put  $K_0 = k(\{X^m \mid m \in N\})$ . It is obvious that  $K_0 \subset K^{\delta}$ . We show the opposite inclusion. Since N is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  we can take a basis  $B_0$  of N. We set  $M_0 = \{X^m \mid m \in B_0\}$ . It is easy to see that  $K_0 = k(M_0)$ . Let  $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then there exists a subset  $B_1$  of E such that  $B_1$  has (n-r) elements  $(r = \operatorname{rank}(N))$  and  $B = B_0 \cup B_1$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We may assume  $B_1 = \{e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$ . We set  $M_1 = \{X^\alpha \mid \alpha \in B\}$  and  $K_1 = k(M_1) = K_0(X_{r+1}, \ldots, X_n)$ . Then, by LEMMA 2.4,  $K_1$  is a purely transcendental extension of  $K_0$ . The restriction  $\partial$  of  $\delta$  to  $K_1$  is a  $K_0$ -derivation of  $K_1$  such that  $\partial(X_{r+1}) = \lambda_{r+1}X_{r+1}, \ldots, \partial(X_n) = \lambda_n X_n$ . Since  $\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by the choice of  $B_1$  we have  $K_1 \cap K_1 \cap K_2 \cap K_2 \cap K_3 \cap K_4 \cap K_4 \cap K_4 \cap K_5 \cap$ 

On the other hand, since tr.  $\deg_k K_1 = n$ , by LEMMA 2.4,  $K = K_1 \ (X_1, \ldots, X_r)$  is an algebraic extension of  $K_1$ . Hence there exists a subset I of  $N^r$  such that  $M = \{M_\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_r^{\alpha_r} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \in I\}$  is a basis of K as a  $K_1$ -vector space. Note that if  $\alpha \in I$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \lambda_i \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha_{r+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now let us take an element  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in I} f_\alpha M_\alpha$  of  $K^{\delta}$  ( $f_\alpha \in K_1$ ). Then  $\delta(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} (\delta(f_\alpha) + (\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \lambda_i) f_\alpha)$ 

 $M_{\alpha}$  and hence  $\delta(f_{\alpha}) + (\Sigma_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}) f_{\alpha} = 0$ . By LEMMA 2.1 and COROLLARY 2.3 it follows that if  $f_{\alpha} \neq 0$  then there exist  $\lambda_{r+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $c_{\alpha} \in K_{0}$  such that  $f_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha} X_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \cdots X_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$  and  $\Sigma_{i=r+1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{i} = -\Sigma_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}$ . Hence we have  $f_{\alpha} = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $f_{0} \in K_{0}$ . This shows that  $K^{\delta} \subset K_{0}$ .

## 3 Nilpotent case

In this section we concern with the field of constants for a nilpotent derivation. Let  $R = k[Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$  be a polynomial ring over k in n variables. Let  $\partial$  be a k-derivation such that  $\partial(Y_1) = 1$ ,  $\partial(Y_2) = Y_1, \ldots, \partial(Y_n) = Y_{n-1}$ . We set

$$f_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} Y_1^k Y_{i-k} + \frac{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)}{i!} Y_1^i \ (i=2,\ldots,n).$$

It is known that the ring  $R^{\partial}$  of constants for  $\delta$  is generated by  $\{f_2, \ldots, f_n\}$  over k (Onoda [2] Lemma 1). A similar result holds for the field of constants. For completeness we state it with a proof.

LEMMA 3.1 Let the notation be as above. We write L for the quotient field of R. Then  $L^{\partial} = k(f_2, \ldots, f_n)$  and  $L = L^{\partial}(Y_1)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $f_i \in L^{\partial}$  for  $i=2,\ldots,n$ . Since  $f_i=Y_i+g_i,g_i\in k[Y_1,\ldots,Y_{i-1}]$   $(i=2,\ldots,n)$  we have  $L=k(Y_1,f_2,\ldots,f_n)$ . Moreover  $\partial$  is a k  $(f_2,\ldots,f_n)$ -derivation and  $\partial(Y_1)=1$ . Hence  $L^{\partial}=k(f_2,\ldots,f_n)$ .

COROLLARY 3.2 Let  $K = k(X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be a rational function field in (n + 1) variables over k. Let  $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i-1} \partial / \partial X_i$  be a k-derivation of K. We set

$$f_1 = X_1/X_0$$

$$f_i = \sum_{k=0}^{i-2} \frac{(-1)^k X_1^k K_{i-k}}{k!} + \frac{(-1)^{i-1} (i-1)}{i!} \left(\frac{X_1}{X_0}\right)^i \ (i=2,\ldots,n).$$

Then we have  $K = k(X_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n)$  and  $K^{\delta} = k(X_0, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ .

*Proof.* We put  $Y_i = X_i/X_0$   $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$  and  $L = k(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ . Then  $\delta(Y_1) = 1$ ,  $\delta(Y_2) = Y_1, \ldots, \delta(Y_n) = Y_{n-1}$ ,  $K = L(X_0)$ . The restriction  $\partial$  of  $\delta$  to L is a k-deriation of L. Hence  $L^{\partial} = k(f_2, \ldots, f_n)$  and  $L = L^{\partial}(f_1)$  by LEMMA 3.1. Since  $\delta$  is a  $k(X_0, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ -derivation,  $K = k(X_0, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$  and  $\delta(f_1) = 1$  we have  $K^{\delta} = k(X_0, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3 Let  $K = k(X_{10}, \ldots, X_{1l_1}, \ldots, X_{t0}, \ldots, X_{tl_t})$  be a rational function field over k. Let  $\delta$  be a k-derivation of K such that

$$\delta(X_{i0}) = 0, \ \delta(X_{ij}) = X_{ij-1} \ (i = 1, \ldots, t, j = 1, \ldots, l_i).$$

We set

$$S_{0} = \{X_{i0} \mid i = 1, ..., t\}$$

$$S_{i} = \{\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \frac{X_{i1}^{k} X_{ij-k}}{X_{i0}^{k+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (i-1)}{j!} \left(\frac{X_{i1}}{X_{i0}}\right) \mid j = 2, ..., l_{i}\} \ (i = 1, ..., t),$$

$$S_{t+1} = \{X_{i1}/X_{i0} - X_{i+11}/X_{i+10} \mid i = 1, ..., t-1\} \ and$$

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t+1} S_{i}.$$

Then we have  $K^{\delta} = K(S)$ .

*Proof*. We put  $K_0 = k(S)$ . It is obvious that  $\delta = 0$  on  $S_0$  and  $S_{t+1}$ . Moreover  $\delta = 0$  on  $S_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, t$  by COROLLARY 3.2. Hence  $\delta$  is a  $K_0$ -derivation. Since  $K = K_0(X_{11}/X_{10})$  and  $\delta(X_{11}/X_{10}) = 1$  we have  $K^{\delta} = K_0$ .

#### 4 Main result

In this section we give a set of generetors of the field of constants for a linear k -derivation when k is algebraically closed. First of all we recall the following

LEMMA 4.1 (SUZUKI [3] LEMMA 4) Let K be a field of characteristic 0, d a derivation of K. Let D be an extension of d to a rational function field K(x) in one variable such that  $D(x) \in K \setminus d(K)$ . Then  $K(x)^D = K^d$ .

LEMMA4.2 Let  $K = k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be a rational function field in n variables over k and  $\delta$  a k-derivation of K suth that  $\delta(X_i) = \lambda_i X_i (\lambda_i \in k, 1 \leq i \leq n)$ . Let  $L = K(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$  be a rational function field in m variables over K and  $\Delta$  an extension of  $\delta$  such that  $\Delta(Y_j) = 1$   $(j = 1, \ldots, m)$ . Then  $L^{\Delta} = k(\{X^m \mid m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \Sigma_{i=1}^n m_i \lambda_i = 0\} \cup \{Y_1 - Y_2, \ldots, Y_{m-1} - Y_m\}) = K^{\delta}(Y_1 - Y_2, \ldots, Y_{m-1} - Y_m)$ .

*Proof*. We put  $F_0=k\,(Y_1-Y_2,\ldots,\,Y_{m-1}-Y_m)$  and  $F=F_0\,(X_1,\ldots,\,X_n)$ . Then the restriction d of  $\Delta$  to F is a  $F_0$ -derivation with  $d\,(X_i)=\lambda_iX_i\,(1\leq i\leq n)$ . Hence, by PROPOSITION 2.5,  $F^d=F_0\{X^m\mid m=(m_1,\ldots,\,m_m)\in \mathbf{Z}^n,\,\Sigma_{i=1}^nm_i\lambda_i=0\})=K^\delta$   $(Y_1-Y_2,\ldots,\,Y_{m-1}-Y_m)$ . By LEMMA 4.1 it suffices to show that  $\Delta(\varphi)\neq 1$  for any

 $\varphi \in F$  because  $L = F(Y_1)$  is a rational function field over F and  $\Delta(Y_1) = 1$ . Assume that there exists  $\varphi = f/g$  satisfying  $\Delta(\varphi) = 1$ , where f and g are polynomials in  $F_0[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ . Let  $aX^{\alpha}$  and  $bX^{\beta}$  be the leading terms of f and g, respectively. Then we see that  $\Delta(f)g - f\Delta(g) = ab\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \lambda_i X^{\alpha+\beta} + \text{(terms of multidegree } < \alpha + \beta \text{) and } g^2 = b^2 X^{2\beta} + \text{(terms of multidegree } < 2\beta \text{)}$ . Hence we have  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $a\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) \lambda_i = b \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

Now we are in a position to prove the following, which is our main result:

THEOREM 4.3 Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and  $K = k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  a rational function field over k. Let  $\delta$  be a linear k-derivation of K. By LEMMA 1.1 we can take a set  $\{X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0s}, X_{10}, \ldots, X_{1l_1}, \ldots, X_{t0}, \ldots, X_{tl_t}\}$  of generators of K over k such that

$$\delta(X_{0i}) = \lambda_{i}X_{0i} \ (i = 1, \ldots, s),$$

$$\delta(X_{i0}) = \mu_{i}X_{i0}, \ \delta(X_{ij}) = \mu_{i}X_{ij} + X_{ij-1}, \ (i = 1, \ldots, t, j = 1, \ldots, l_{i}).$$
We set
$$S_{0} = \{X_{01}^{m01} \cdots X_{0s}^{m0s}X_{10}^{m10} \cdots X_{t0}^{mt0} \mid m_{01}\lambda_{1} + \cdots + m_{0s}\lambda_{s} + m_{10}\mu_{1} + \cdots + m_{1t}\mu_{t} = 0\},$$

$$S_{i} = \{\sum_{k=0}^{j-2} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \frac{X_{i1}^{k}X_{ji-k}}{X_{i0}^{k+1}} + \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (j-1)}{j!} (\frac{X_{i1}}{X_{i0}})^{j} \mid j = 2, \ldots, l_{i}\} \ (i = 1, \ldots, t),$$

$$S_{t+1} = \{X_{i1}/X_{i0} - X_{i+11}/X_{i+10} \mid i = 1, \ldots, t-1\} \ and$$

$$S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t+1} S_{i}.$$

Then we have  $K^{\delta} = k(S)$ .

*Proof.* We put  $L = k (\bigcup_{i=1}^{t+1} S_i)$ . Then we see that  $\delta = 0$  on L and that K is a rational function field over L in variables  $X_{01}, \ldots, X_{0s}, X_{10}, \ldots, X_{to}, X_{11}/X_{10}$ . Since  $\delta(X_{0i}) = \lambda_i X_{0i}$   $(1 \le i \le s)$ ,  $\delta(X_{i0}) = \mu_i X_{i0}$   $(1 \le i \le t)$  and  $\delta(X_{11}/X_{10}) = 1$ , by LEMMA 4.2, we have  $K^{\delta} = L(S_0) = k(S)$ .

COROLLARY 4.4  $K^{\delta}$  is a rational function field over k.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kunz, E., Käheler Differentilas, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1986.
- [2] Onoda, N., On derivation of polynomial ring, in "Reports of the 6th Symposium on Commutative

Algebra", 1975, 227-232 (in Japanese).

[3] Suzuki, S., Some types of derivations and their applications to field theory, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **21**(1981), 375-382.