

# Note on equivariant vector bundles on a ruled surface.

Tamafumi Kaneyama

## §1. Introduction

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of any characteristic. Let  $X$  be a complete non-singular variety over  $k$ . We say that  $X$  is an almost homogeneous variety if an algebraic torus  $T$  acts on  $X$  and  $X$  has a dense orbit. We may assume that  $\dim(T) = \dim(X)$ . The vector bundle  $E$  over an almost homogeneous variety  $X$  is equivariant by definition if there is an isomorphism

$$\phi_t : t^*E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$$

for any  $t$  in  $T$  where  $t^*E$  is the pull-back of  $E$  by the translation  $t : X \rightarrow X$ . In [2], we study equivariant vector bundles over an almost homogeneous variety. In this paper we intend to describe the concrete form of indecomposable equivariant vector bundles of rank 2 over a rational ruled surface  $F_m = \mathbf{P}(O_{\mathbf{P}^1} \oplus O_{\mathbf{P}^1}(m))$  which is almost homogeneous under the standard torus action.

## §2. Notations and preliminaries

Let  $\Xi$  be the character group  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(T, G_m)$  of  $T$  where  $G_m$  is the multiplicative group. Let  $\Gamma$  be the one-parameter subgroups  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G_m, T)$  of  $T$  which is the dual  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of  $\Xi$  by the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . We extend the pairing to  $\mathbf{Q}$  and denote

$$\langle \xi, \phi \rangle = \phi(\xi)$$

where  $\xi \in \Xi_{\mathbf{Q}} = \Xi \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$  and  $\phi \in \Gamma_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

For the detailed description of almost homogeneous varieties, we refer to [3], [4].

Let  $X$  be an almost homogeneous variety defined by a cone complex  $(\Gamma, \mathbf{C})$ . We define the data  $(m, P)$  as follows:

$$(i) \quad m : \text{Sk}^1(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}^1 = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_a\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus r}$$

sending  $\phi$  to  $m(\phi) = (m(\phi)_1, \dots, m(\phi)_r)$  where  $\text{Sk}^1(\mathbf{C})$  is a set of 1-dimensional cones of  $\mathbf{C}$ , and for every  $C$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$

$$m_c : C \cap \text{Sk}^1(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus r}$$

so that there is a permutation  $\tau$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} m_c(\phi) &= (m_c(\phi)_1, \dots, m_c(\phi)_r) \\ &= (m(\phi)_{\tau(1)}, \dots, m(\phi)_{\tau(r)}) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\phi$  in  $C \cap \text{Sk}^1(\mathbf{C})$ .

or equivalently

$$(i) \quad \xi : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \Xi^{\oplus r}$$

sending  $C$  to  $\xi(C) = (\xi(C)_1, \dots, \xi(C)_r)$  such that for every pair of cones  $C, C'$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$  there exists a permutation  $\tau$  so that

$$\phi_j(\xi(C)_i) = \phi_j(\xi(C')_{\tau(i)})$$

for every  $i$  and every  $\phi_j$  in  $C \cap C'$ .

(ii)  $P : \mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow GL_r(k)$

sending  $(C, C')$  to  $P(C, C') = (P(C, C')_{ij})$  such that  $P(C, C')_{ij} \neq 0$  only if  $m_c(\phi)_i \geq m_c(\phi)_j$  for every  $\phi$  in  $(C \cap C') \cap Sk^1(C)$  and such that

$$P(C, C') P(C', C'') = P(C, C'')$$

for every  $C, C', C''$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$ .

Two data  $(m, P)$  and  $(m', P')$  are equivalent if there exists a permutation  $\sigma = \sigma(C)$  in  $\mathfrak{B}_r$  such that

$$(m_c(\phi)_1, \dots, m_c(\phi)_r) = (m'_c(\phi)_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m'_c(\phi)_{\sigma(r)})$$

for every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$  and  $\phi$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(C)$  and if there exists

$$\rho : \mathcal{C}^n \rightarrow GL_r(k)$$

such that

$$P'(C, C') = \rho(C)^{-1} P(C, C') \rho(C')$$

for every  $C$  and  $C'$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$

Then we have the following theorem in [2] on an equivariant vector bundle over an almost homogeneous variety. We say an equivariant vector bundle  $(E, \phi_i)$   $T$ -linearized if, for every pair of  $t, t'$  in  $T$

$$\phi_{t't} = \phi_{t'} \circ t'^* \phi_t$$

holds.

**THEOREM 2.1** *Let  $(X, T)$  be a complete non-singular almost homogeneous variety defined by a cone complex  $(\Gamma, C)$ . Then the set of  $T$ -linearized vector bundles of rank  $r$  up to  $T$ -isomorphism corresponds bijectively to the set of data  $(m, P)$  up to equivalence.*

### §3. Line bundles on an almost homogeneous variety

Let  $(X, T)$  be a complete non-singular almost homogeneous variety defined by the cone complex  $(\Gamma, C)$  unless stated. Let  $D_i$  be the divisor corresponding to  $\phi_i$  in  $Sk^1(C) = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_d\}$ . Then  $O_X(D_i)$  is defined by the data  $(m, P)$  where

$$m(\phi_i) = -1, m(\phi_j) = 0, (j \neq i)$$

and  $P(C, C') = I$  for every pair of  $C, C'$  in  $\mathcal{C}^n$ . This section is mostly due to T. Oda.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $(X, T)$  be a complete almost homogeneous variety defined by a cone complex  $(\Gamma, C)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i$  is a Cartier divisor
- (2)  $D$  is principal on each  $T$ -stable affine open subset.

(3) For every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $\xi_c$  such that

$$\phi_i(\xi_c) = -m_i$$

for every  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$

*proof.* (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (1) is obvious.

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). For  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $U_c = \text{Spec}(A_c)$  be a  $T$ -stable affine open subset corresponding to  $C$  where  $A_c = k[e(\Xi \cap C^*)]$ .

Put

$$M_c(D) = \{ \xi \in \Xi \mid \phi_i(\xi) \geq -m_i \text{ for every } \phi_i \text{ in } C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C}) \}.$$

Then

$$M_c = \Gamma(U_c, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) = ke(\mu_c(D)).$$

Suppose  $D$  is a Cartier on  $U_c$  then

$$M_c \cdot (A_c : M_c) = A_c.$$

Therefore we have

$$\mu_c(D) + \{ \eta \in \Xi \mid \eta + \mu_c(D) \in \Xi \cap C^* \} = \Xi \cap C^*.$$

Since  $\Xi \cap C^*$  contains zero, there exists  $\xi_c$  in  $\mu_c(D)$  and  $\eta$  in  $\{ \eta \in \Xi \mid \eta + \mu_c(D) \in \Xi \cap C^* \}$  such that  $\xi_c + \eta = 0$ . Hence

$$\mu_c(D) - \xi_c \in \Xi \cap C^*.$$

On the other hand since, for  $\xi$  in  $\Xi \cap C^*$ ,

$$\phi_i(\xi_c + \xi) \geq -m_i$$

for every  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$ , we have

$$\mu_c(D) \supset \xi_c + \Xi \cap C^*.$$

Therefore

$$\mu_c(D) = \xi_c + \Xi \cap C^*.$$

Hence

$$M_c = A_c e(\xi_c).$$

This implies (2).

The equivalence of (2) and (3) is obvious.

REMARK 3.2. If  $X$  is non-singular, then any  $T$ -stable Weil divisor is Cartier.

In fact, for every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $C$  is regular. So the equations

$$\phi_i(\xi) = -m_i$$

for every  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$  is always solvable in  $\Xi$ .

Now we state the following theorem without proof.

THEOREM 3.3 (Demazure) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{j} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbf{Z} D_i \xrightarrow{p} \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $j$  sends  $\xi \in \Xi$  to  $\text{div}(e(\xi)) = \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(\xi) D_i$  and  $p$  sends  $D_i$  to  $\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)$ . In particular every line

bundle  $L$  on  $X$  is isomorphic to  $O_X(\sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i)$  for some  $m_i$ .

COROLLARY 3.4.  $Pic(X) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{d-\dim(X)}$

LEMMA 3.5.  $\Omega_X^n \simeq O_X(-\sum_{i=1}^d D_i)$ .

*Proof.* The rational  $n$ -form of  $k(T)$  which is  $T$ -invariant is unique up to scalar, i. e.

$$\omega = \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dt_n}{t_n}$$

where  $t_i = e(\xi_i)$ ,  $\xi_i$  are base of  $\Xi$ .

LEMMA 3.6. Let  $U_c$  be an affine open subset of  $X$  corresponding to a cone  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(U_c, O_X(\sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i)) &= \bigoplus ke(\xi). \\ \phi_i(\xi) &\geq -m_i \\ \phi_i &\in C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, O_X(\sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i)) &= \bigoplus ke(\xi). \\ \phi_i(\xi) &\geq -m_i \\ \phi_i &\in Sk^1(\mathcal{C}) \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.7. Put  $D = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i$  and  $\lambda(D) = \{\xi \in \Xi \mid \phi_i(\xi) \geq -m_i, \phi_i \in Sk^1(\mathcal{C})\}$ . Then

- (1)  $O_X(D)$  is generated by its global sections.
- (2) For every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $\xi_c$  in  $\lambda(D)$  such that  $\phi_i(\xi_c) = -m_i$  for  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$ .

are equivalent

*proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) Since  $D$  is a Cartier divisor, for every  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $\eta_c$  in  $\Xi$  such that

$$\phi_i(\eta_c) = -m_i$$

for every  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$  and

$$\mu_c(D) = \eta_c + \Xi \cap C^*$$

But by the assumption we have

$$\mu_c(D) = \lambda(D) + \Xi \cap C^*.$$

Since  $\eta_c$  is in  $\mu_c(D)$ , write

$$\eta_c = \xi_c + \zeta_c$$

for  $\xi_c$  in  $\lambda(D)$  and  $\zeta_c$  in  $\Xi \cap C^*$ . For every  $\phi_i$  in  $C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$

$$-m_i = \phi_i(\eta_c) = \phi_i(\xi_c) + \phi_i(\zeta_c).$$

Since  $\phi_i(\xi_c) \geq -m_i$  and  $\phi_i(\zeta_c) \geq 0$  we have

$$\phi_i(\xi_c) = -m_i.$$

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (1) Since

$$\mu_c(D) = \xi_c + \Xi \cap C^*$$

and

$$\mu_c(D) \supset \lambda(D) + \Xi \cap C^* \supset \xi_c + \Xi \cap C^*$$

we have

$$\mu_c(D) = \lambda(D) + \Xi \cap C^*.$$

This means that  $O_X(D)$  is generated by  $ke(\lambda(D))$ .

For the ampleness of line bundle, we state the following theorem without proof.

**THEOREM 3.8.** (Oda) *Let  $(X, T)$  be a complete normal almost homogeneous variety.*

*Put  $D = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $O_X(D)$  is ample.
- (2) *There exists a positive integer  $n$  such that, for every maximal cone  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $\xi_c$  in  $\Xi$  so that*

$$\phi_i(\xi_c) = -nm_i \text{ for } \phi_i \in C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$$

$$\phi_j(\xi_c) > -nm_j \text{ for } \phi_j \notin C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C}).$$

*if  $\xi_c$  exists then it is unique.*

**COROLLARY 3.9.** (Demazure) *Let  $(X, T)$  be a complete non-singular almost homogeneous variety.*

*Put  $D = \sum_{i=1}^d m_i D_i$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D$  is very ample.
- (2)  $D$  is ample.
- (3) *For every maximal cone  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , the unique  $\xi_c$  in  $\Xi$  such that  $\phi_i(\xi_c) = -m_i$  for  $\phi_i \in C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$  satisfies  $\phi_j(\xi_c) > -m_j$  for  $\phi_j \notin C \cap Sk^1(\mathcal{C})$ .*

#### §4. Equivariant vector bundles of rank 2 on a ruled surface.

In this section we assume that  $X$  is a rational ruled surface  $F_m$ .  $F_m$  is defined by the cone complex  $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 = -\phi_1 - m\phi_2, \phi_4 = -\phi_2)$ , i.e.  $C_i = \mathbf{Q}_o\phi_i + \mathbf{Q}_o\phi_{i+1}$  ( $\phi_5 = \phi_1$ ) are two-dimensional cones and  $D_i = \mathbf{Q}_o\phi_i$  are one-dimensional cones where  $\mathbf{Q}_o$  is the set of non-negative rational numbers. We denote by the same letters the  $T$ -stable divisors of  $X$  corresponding to the cone  $D_i$ . Let  $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$  be the  $T$ -stable affine open subset of  $X$  corresponding to  $C_i$ . Let  $(X_{ij})$  be a local equation of  $D_i$  on  $U_j$ . Put

$$x = \frac{X_{1j}}{X_{3j}}, \quad y = \frac{X_{2j}}{X_{3j}^m X_{4j}}$$

We can easily see that  $x, y$  are independent of  $j$  without unit. So we take  $(X_{ij})$  so that  $x, y$  are independent of  $j$ . Then

$$U_1 = \text{Spec}(k[x, y])$$

$$U_2 = \text{Spec}(k[\frac{1}{x}, \frac{y}{x^m}])$$

$$U_3 = \text{Spec}(k[\frac{1}{x}, \frac{x^m}{y}])$$

$$U_4 = \text{Spec}(k[x, \frac{1}{y}])$$

From now on we consider indecomposable equivariant vector bundles of rank 2 on  $F_m$ . At first

we show examples of them.

(I) Let  $E_1$  be an equivariant vector bundle defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow O_{F_m} \xrightarrow{f} O_{F_m}(aD_1 + bD_2) \oplus O_{F_m}(cD_3) \oplus O_{F_m}(dD_4) \rightarrow E_1^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are positive integers and  $f$  sends 1 to  $(X_{1j}^a X_{2j}^b, X_{3j}^c, X_{4j}^d)$  on  $U_j$ . We consider the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_1$ . Let  $e_{ij}$  be defined by

$$O_{F_m}(-aD_1 - bD_2)e_{1j} \oplus O_{F_m}(-cD_3)e_{2j} \oplus O_{F_m}(-dD_4)e_{3j} \xrightarrow{f^*} O_{F_m}$$

on  $U_j$  where  $f^*$  is the dual of  $f$ . We take semi-invariant basis  $(u_i, v_i)$  of  $E_1$  on  $U_i$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= -\frac{e_{11}}{X_{31}^a X_{31}^{bm} X_{41}^b} + \left[ \frac{X_{11}}{X_{31}} \right]^a \left[ \frac{X_{21}}{X_{41} X_{31}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{31}}{X_{41}^d}, \quad v_1 = \frac{e_{21}}{X_{31}^c} - \frac{e_{31}}{X_{41}^d}, \\ u_2 &= -\frac{e_{12}}{X_{42}^b X_{12}^{bm} X_{12}^a} + \left[ \frac{X_{22}}{X_{42} X_{12}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{32}}{X_{42}^d}, \quad v_2 = \frac{e_{22}}{X_{12}^c} - \left[ \frac{X_{32}}{X_{12}} \right]^c \frac{e_{32}}{X_{42}^d}, \\ u_3 &= \frac{X_{13}^{md}}{X_{23}^d} e_{33} - \left[ \frac{X_{43} X_{13}^m}{X_{23}} \right]^d \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a X_{23}^b}, \quad v_3 = \frac{e_{23}}{X_{13}^c} - \left[ \frac{X_{33}}{X_{13}} \right]^c \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a X_{23}^b}, \\ u_4 &= \frac{X_{34}^{md}}{X_{24}^d} e_{34} - \left[ \frac{X_{44} X_{34}^m}{X_{24}} \right]^d \frac{e_{24}}{X_{34}^c}, \quad v_4 = -\frac{e_{14}}{X_{34}^a X_{24}^b} + \left[ \frac{X_{14}}{X_{34}} \right]^a \frac{e_{24}}{X_{34}^c}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x^{-a} y^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{-a} y^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can take  $P$  of the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_1$  as follows

$$P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $\phi_1(x) = \phi_2(y) = 1, \phi_2(x) = \phi_1(y) = 0$  we can take  $x^a y^b$  as a character of  $T$ . Let  $\xi_i, \eta_i$  be the characters corresponding to  $u_i, v_i$  respectively i. e. for example  $\xi_1 = x^a y^a, \eta_1 = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\xi_1) &= \phi_1(\eta_4) = a, \quad \phi_1(\eta_1) = \phi_1(\xi_4) = 0 \\ \phi_2(\xi_1) &= \phi_2(\xi_2) = b, \quad \phi_2(\eta_1) = \phi_2(\eta_2) = 0 \\ \phi_3(\xi_2) &= \phi_3(\xi_3) = 0, \quad \phi_3(\eta_2) = \phi_3(\eta_3) = c \\ \phi_4(\xi_3) &= \phi_4(\xi_4) = d, \quad \phi_4(\eta_3) = \phi_4(\eta_4) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

These integers means the integers of  $m$  of the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_1$  i. e.

$$m(\phi_1) = (a, 0), m(\phi_2) = (b, 0), m(\phi_3) = (0, c), m(\phi_4) = (d, 0).$$

(II) Let  $E_{II}$  be an equivariant vector bundle defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow O_{F_m} \xrightarrow{f} O_{F_m}(aD_1) \oplus O_{F_m}(bD_2 + cD_3) \oplus O_{F_m}(dD_4) \rightarrow E_{II}^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are positive integers and  $f$  sends 1 to  $(X_{1j}^a, X_{2j}^b, X_{3j}^c, X_{4j}^d)$  on  $U_j$ . Let  $e_{ij}$  be the

same as in I. We take semi-invariant basis  $(u_i, v_i)$  of  $E_{II}$  on  $U_i$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \frac{e_{21}}{X_{41}^b X_{31}^{bm} X_{31}^c} - \left[ \frac{X_{21}}{X_{41} X_{31}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{31}}{X_{41}^d}, \quad v_1 = \frac{e_{11}}{X_{31}^a} - \left[ \frac{X_{11}}{X_{31}} \right]^a \frac{e_{31}}{X_{41}^d}, \\ u_2 &= \frac{e_{22}}{X_{12}^c X_{42}^b X_{12}^{bm}} - \left[ \frac{X_{22}}{X_{42} X_{12}^m} \right]^b \left[ \frac{X_{32}}{X_{12}} \right]^c \frac{e_{32}}{X_{42}^d}, \quad v_2 = \frac{e_{12}}{X_{12}^a} - \frac{e_{32}}{X_{42}^d}, \\ u_3 &= \frac{e_{23}}{X_{23}^b X_{13}^c} - \left[ \frac{X_{33}}{X_{13}} \right]^c \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a}, \quad v_3 = -\frac{X_{13}^{md}}{X_{23}^d} e_{33} + \left[ \frac{X_{43} X_{13}^m}{X_{23}} \right]^d \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a}, \\ u_4 &= -\frac{e_{14}}{X_{34}^a} + \left[ \frac{X_{14}}{X_{34}} \right]^a \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b X_{34}^c}, \quad v_4 = -\frac{X_{34}^{md}}{X_{24}^d} e_{34} + \left[ \frac{X_{44} X_{34}^m}{X_{24}} \right]^d \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b X_{34}^c}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} y^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} x^c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} x^c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^c & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} x^c & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^a & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_{II}$  are

$$P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$m(\phi_1) = (0, a), m(\phi_2) = (b, 0), m(\phi_3) = (c, 0), m(\phi_4) = (0, d)$$

by the same way as I.

(III) Let  $E_{III}$  be an equivariant vector bundle defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow O_{F_m} \xrightarrow{f} O_{F_m}(aD_1) \oplus O_{F_m}(bD_2) \oplus O_{F_m}(cD_3 + dD_4) \rightarrow E_{III}^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are positive integers and  $f$  sends 1 to  $(X_{1j}^a, X_{2j}^b, X_{3j}^c, X_{4j}^d)$  on  $U_j$ . Let  $e_{ij}$  be the same as in I. We take semi-invariant basis  $(u_i, v_i)$  of  $E_{III}$  on  $U_i$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= -\frac{e_{21}}{X_{41}^b X_{31}^{bm}} + \left[ \frac{X_{21}}{X_{41} X_{31}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{31}}{X_{31}^c X_{41}^d}, \quad v_1 = -\frac{e_{11}}{X_{31}^a} + \left[ \frac{X_{11}}{X_{31}} \right]^a \frac{e_{31}}{X_{31}^c X_{41}^d}, \\ u_2 &= -\frac{e_{22}}{X_{42}^b X_{12}^{bm}} + \left[ \frac{X_{22}}{X_{42} X_{12}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{12}}{X_{12}^a}, \quad v_2 = \frac{e_{32}}{X_{12}^c X_{42}^d} - \left[ \frac{X_{32}}{X_{12}} \right]^c \frac{e_{12}}{X_{12}^a}, \\ u_3 &= -\frac{e_{23}}{X_{23}^b} + \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a}, \quad v_3 = \frac{X_{13}^{md}}{X_{23}^d X_{13}^c} e_{33} - \left[ \frac{X_{33}}{X_{13}} \right]^c \left[ \frac{X_{43} X_{13}^m}{X_{23}} \right]^d \frac{e_{13}}{X_{13}^a}, \\ u_4 &= \frac{e_{14}}{X_{34}^a} - \left[ \frac{X_{14}}{X_{34}} \right]^a \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b}, \quad v_4 = \frac{X_{34}^{md}}{X_{24}^d X_{34}^c} e_{34} - \left[ \frac{X_{44} X_{34}^m}{X_{24}} \right]^d \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} y^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-a} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_{\text{III}}$  are

$$P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$m(\phi_1) = (0, a), m(\phi_2) = (b, 0), m(\phi_3) = (0, c), m(\phi_4) = (0, d)$$

by the same way as I.

(IV) Let  $E_{\text{IV}}$  be an equivariant vector bundle defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow O_{F_m} \xrightarrow{f} O_{F_m}(dD_4 + aD_1) \oplus O_{F_m}(bD_2) \oplus O_{F_m}(cD_3) \rightarrow E_{\text{IV}}^* \rightarrow 0$$

where  $a, b, c, d$  are positive integers and  $f$  sends 1 to  $(X_{4j}^d, X_{1j}^a, X_{2j}^b, X_{3j}^c)$  on  $U_j$ . Let  $e_{ij}$  be the same as in I. We take semi-invariant basis  $(u_i, v_i)$  of  $E_{\text{IV}}$  on  $U_i$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= \frac{e_{21}}{X_{41}^b X_{32}^{mb}} - \left[ \frac{X_{21}}{X_{41} X_{31}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{31}}{X_{31}^c}, \quad v_1 = \frac{e_{11}}{X_{31}^a X_{41}^d} - \left[ \frac{X_{11}}{X_{31}} \right]^a \frac{e_{31}}{X_{31}^c}, \\ u_2 &= \frac{e_{22}}{X_{41}^b X_{12}^{mb}} - \left[ \frac{X_{22}}{X_{42} X_{12}^m} \right]^b \frac{e_{12}}{X_{12}^a X_{42}^d}, \quad v_2 = -\frac{e_{32}}{X_{12}^c} + \left[ \frac{X_{32}}{X_{12}} \right]^c \frac{e_{12}}{X_{12}^a X_{42}^d}, \\ u_3 &= -\frac{X_{13}^{md}}{X_{23}^d X_{13}^a} e_{13} + \left[ \frac{X_{43} X_{13}^m}{X_{23}} \right]^d \frac{e_{23}}{X_{23}^b}, \quad v_3 = -\frac{e_{33}}{X_{13}^c} + \left[ \frac{X_{33}}{X_{13}} \right]^c \frac{e_{23}}{X_{23}^b}, \\ u_4 &= -\frac{X_{34}^{md}}{X_{34}^a X_{24}^d} e_{14} + \left[ \frac{X_{14}}{X_{34}} \right]^a \left[ \frac{X_{44} X_{34}^m}{X_{24}} \right]^d \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b}, \quad v_4 = -\frac{e_{34}}{X_{34}^c} + \frac{e_{24}}{X_{24}^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{y}{x^m}\right)^{-b} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^{-d} & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{x^m}{y}\right)^d & 0 \\ 0 & x^c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} y^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} y^d & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_4 \\ v_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{-a} & 0 \\ 0 & y^{-b} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the data  $(m, P)$  for  $E_{\text{IV}}$  are

$$P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$m(\phi_1) = (0, a), m(\phi_2) = (b, 0), m(\phi_3) = (0, c), m(\phi_4) = (d, 0)$$

by the same way as I.



Next we consider the data  $(m, P)$  for an equivariant indecomposable vector bundle of rank 2 on  $F_m$ . At first we deal the case when  $m$  of the data  $(m, P)$  satisfies

$$m_{c_1}(\phi_2) = m_{c_2}(\phi_2) = (\alpha_2, 0)$$

and one of

$$(1) \quad m_{c_2}(\phi_3) = m_{c_3}(\phi_3) = (\alpha_3, 0)$$

$$(2) \quad m_{c_2}(\phi_3) = m_{c_3}(\phi_3) = (0, \alpha_3)$$

and one of

$$(\alpha) \quad m_{c_3}(\phi_4) = m_{c_4}(\phi_4) = (\alpha_4, 0)$$

$$(\beta) \quad m_{c_3}(\phi_4) = m_{c_4}(\phi_4) = (0, \alpha_4)$$

and one of

$$(a) \quad m_{c_4}(\phi_1) = m_{c_1}(\phi_1) = (\alpha_1, 0)$$

$$(b) \quad m_{c_4}(\phi_1) = m_{c_1}(\phi_1) = (0, \alpha_1)$$

$$(c) \quad m_{c_4}(\phi_1) = (\alpha_1, 0), \quad m_{c_1}(\phi_1) = (0, \alpha_1)$$

$$(d) \quad m_{c_4}(\phi_1) = (0, \alpha_1), \quad m_{c_1}(\phi_1) = (\alpha_1, 0)$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are non-negative integers. If one of  $\alpha_i$  is zero then we may assume  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . In this case  $P$  of the data  $(m, P)$  are

$$P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(1) \quad P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & d' \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2) \quad P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(\alpha) \quad P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} a'' & b'' \\ 0 & d'' \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\beta) \quad P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} a'' & 0 \\ c'' & d'' \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a''' & b''' \\ c''' & d''' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 1,  $\alpha$ ). In the equivalence relation we take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{b}{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{ab' + bd'}{aa'} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{aa'b'' + (ab' + bd')d''}{aa'a''} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$P'(C_1, C_2) = \rho(C_1)^{-1} P(C_1, C_2) \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P'(C_2, C_3) = \rho(C_2)^{-1} P(C_2, C_3) \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} a' & 0 \\ 0 & d' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P'(C_3, C_4) = \rho(C_3)^{-1} P(C_3, C_4) \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} a'' & 0 \\ 0 & d'' \end{bmatrix}$$

From the relation

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$P'(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1}a'^{-1}a''^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1}d'^{-1}d''^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore this case defines an decomposable vector bundle.

Case 1,  $\beta$ ). We take

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{ab'+bd'}{dd'} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{b'}{d'} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c''}{d''} & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the vector bundle  $E(m, P)$  defined by the data  $(m, P)$  is decomposable.

Case 2,  $\alpha$ ). We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{b}{d} \\ \frac{dc'}{aa'} & 1 + \frac{bc'}{aa'} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{c'}{d'} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{b''}{a''} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $E(m, P)$  is decomposable.

Case 2,  $\beta$ ). We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{b}{d} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c'}{d'} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c'a''+c''d''}{d'd''} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $E(m, P)$  is decomposable.

So we may assume that  $\alpha_i$  is a positive integer.

Furthermore by taking

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1}a'^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1}d'^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1}a'^{-1}a''^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1}d'^{-1}d''^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_1, C_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ (1) \quad P(C_2, C_3) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b' \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2) \quad P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ (\alpha) \quad P(C_3, C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b'' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\beta) \quad P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c'' & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad P(C_4, C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} a''' & b''' \\ 0 & d''' \end{bmatrix}, \quad a'''d''' = 1, \\ (b) \quad P(C_4, C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} a''' & 0 \\ c''' & d''' \end{bmatrix}, \quad a'''d''' = 1, \\ (c) \quad P(C_4, C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} a''' & d''' \\ c''' & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b'''d''' = -1, \\ (d) \quad P(C_4, C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b''' \\ c''' & d''' \end{bmatrix}, \quad b'''d''' = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Under these assumption,

LEMMA 4.1. *In the case  $(1, \alpha, c)$ ,  $(1, \alpha, d)$ ,  $(1, \beta, d)$  and  $(2, \beta, d)$  there is no equivariant vector*

*bundle.*

*proof.* Case 1,  $\alpha$ , c). In this case

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a''' + c'''(b+b'+b'') & b''' \\ c''' & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

But this contradicts to the relation

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So this case cannot happen.

Case 1,  $\alpha$ , d). In this case

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} c'''(b+b'+b'') & b''' + d'''(b+b'+b'') \\ c''' & d''' \end{bmatrix}.$$

$c'''$  must be zero. Then this is a contradiction.

Case 1,  $\beta$ , d).

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} c'''(b+b') & b''' \{1 + c''(b+b')\} + d'''(b+b') \\ c''' & c'' b''' + d''' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 2,  $\beta$ , d).

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} bc''' & b''' \{1 + b(c'+c'')\} + bd''' \\ c''' & b'''(c'+c'') + d''' \end{bmatrix}.$$

So these cases cannot happen.

LEMMA 4.2. *The case (1,  $\alpha$ , a), (1,  $\alpha$ , b), (1,  $\beta$ , a), (1,  $\beta$ , b), (1,  $\alpha$ , a), (2,  $\alpha$ , b), (2,  $\beta$ , a) and (2,  $\beta$ , b) determine decomposable vector bundles.*

*proof.* By the equivalence relation we may show that  $P(C_i, C_{i+1})$  are equivalent to  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Case 1,  $\alpha$ , a) and Case 1,  $\alpha$ , b). We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b-b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b-b'-b'' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P'(C_i, C_{i+1}) = \rho(C_i)^{-1} \rho(C_i, C_{i+1}) \rho(C_i) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad i=1, 2, 3.$$

From the relation

$$P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$P'(C_4, C_1) = \rho(C_4)^{-1} P(C_4, C_1) \rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 1,  $\beta$ , a) Since

$$\begin{aligned} & P(C_1, C_2) P(C_2, C_3) P(C_3, C_4) P(C_4, C_1) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a''' \{1 + c''(b+b')\} + d'''(b+b') & b''' \{1 + c''(b+b')\} + d'''(b+b') \\ c'' a''' & c'' b''' + d''' \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$c'' = 0, d''' = 1, a''' = 1, b + b' = 0, b''' = 0.$$

We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P'(C_i, C_{i+1}) = \rho(C_i)^{-1} P(C_i, C_{i+1}) \rho(C_{i+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  where  $C_5 = C_1$ .

Case 1,  $\beta$ , b) Since

$$P(C_1, C_2)P(C_2, C_3)P(C_3, C_4)P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a''' + (b + b')(c''a''' + c''') & (b + b')d''' \\ c''a''' + c''' & d''' \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$c''a''' + c''' = 0, d''' = 1, a''' = 1, b + b' = 0.$$

we take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c'' & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$P'(C_i, C_{i+1}) = \rho(C_i)^{-1} P(C_i, C_{i+1}) \rho(C_{i+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Other cases are dealt by the same way. So we only show  $\rho(C_i)$ .

Case 2,  $\alpha$ , a)

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b + b''' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 2,  $\alpha$ , b)

$$\rho(C_4) = \rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + bc' & b \\ c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Case 2,  $\beta$ , a)

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Case 2,  $\beta$ , b)

$$\rho(C_1) = \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c'' & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore these cases determine a decomposable vector bundles.

LEMMA 4.3. *The case (1,  $\beta$ , c), (2,  $\alpha$ , c), (2,  $\alpha$ , d) and (2,  $\beta$ , c) determine an indecomposable vector bundles of rank 2. Furthermore  $P$  of (m, P) are equivalent to*

$$(1, \beta, c); P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2, \alpha, c); P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2, \alpha, d); P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2, \beta, c); P(C_1, C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_2, C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P(C_3, C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*proof.* Case 1,  $\beta, c$ ). Since

$$P(C_1, C_2)P(C_2, C_3)P(C_3, C_4)P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a''' \{1 + c''(b + b')\} + c'''(b + b') & b''' \{1 + c''(b + b')\} \\ c'' a''' + c''' & c'' b''' \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$b''' \{1 + c''(b + b')\} = c'' a''' + c''' = 0,$$

$$a''' \{1 + c''(b + b')\} + c'''(b + b') = c'' b''' = 1,$$

Hence

$$a''' = 1, b + b' = -b''', c''' = -b'''^{-1}, c'' = b'''^{-1}.$$

We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & b' + b''' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(C_1)^{-1}P(C_1, C_2)\rho(C_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_2)^{-1}P(C_2, C_3)\rho(C_3) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_3)^{-1}P(C_3, C_4)\rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_4)^{-1}P(C_4, C_1)\rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2,  $\alpha, c$ ) Since

$$P(C_1, C_2)P(C_2, C_3)P(C_3, C_4)P(C_4, C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a'''(1 + bc') + c''' \{b''(1 + bc') + b\} & b'''(1 + bc') \\ c' a''' + c'''(1 + c' b'') & c' b''' \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$c' = b'''^{-1}, b = -b''', c''' = -b'''^{-1}, a''' = 1 + b'' b'''^{-1}.$$

We take

$$\rho(C_1) = \rho(C_2) = \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & -b'' \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(C_1)^{-1}P(C_1, C_2)\rho(C_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_2)^{-1}P(C_2, C_3)\rho(C_3) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_3)^{-1}P(C_3, C_4)\rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \rho(C_4)^{-1}P(C_4, C_1)\rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2,  $\beta, d$ ) Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P(C_1, C_2)P(C_2, C_3)P(C_3, C_4)P(C_4, C_1) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} c''' \{ b''(1 + bc') + b \} & b'''(1 + bc') + d''' \{ b''(1 + bc') + b \} \\ c'''(1 + c'b'') & c'b''' + d'''(1 + c'b'') \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$c' = b'''^{-1}, b'' = -b''', c''' = -b'''^{-1}, d''' = 1 + bb'''^{-1}.$$

we take

$$\rho(C_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_2) = \rho(C_3) = \rho(C_4) = \begin{bmatrix} -b''' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(C_1)^{-1}P(C_1, C_2)\rho(C_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_2)^{-1}P(C_2, C_3)\rho(C_3) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_3)^{-1}P(C_3, C_4)\rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_4)^{-1}P(C_4, C_1)\rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2,  $\beta, c$ ). Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P(C_1, C_2)P(C_2, C_3)P(C_3, C_4)P(C_4, C_1) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} a''' \{ 1 + b(c' + c'') + bc''' \} & b''' \{ 1 + b(c' + c'') \} \\ a'''(c' + c'') + c''' & b'''(c' + c'') \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$b = -1, b''' = -b = 1, c''' = -b'''^{-1} = -1, a''' = 1, c' + c'' = -c''' = 1.$$

We have

$$\rho(C_4) = \rho(C_1) = \rho(C_2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \rho(C_3) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho(C_1)^{-1}P(C_1, C_2)\rho(C_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_2)^{-1}P(C_2, C_3)\rho(C_3) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_3)^{-1}P(C_3, C_4)\rho(C_4) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 \rho(C_4)^{-1}P(C_4, C_1)\rho(C_1) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $F_m$  be a rational ruled surface.  $F_m$  becomes an almost homogeneous variety with standard action of  $T^2$ . An indecomposable equivariant vector bundle of rank 2 on  $F_m$  is isomorphic to  $E_J(D)$  for some  $D \in \text{Pic}(F_m)$  where  $J$  is one of I, II, III, IV as in the examples.*

*proof.* Since an equivariant vector bundle has a  $T$ -linearization we only show that the data  $(m, P)$  defines  $E_J(D)$ , By tensoring  $O_{F_m}(\sum_{i=1}^4 m_i D_i)$  for some  $m_i$  with the vector bundle  $E(m, P)$  defined by the data  $(m, P)$ , we may assume that  $m$  of the data  $(m, P)$  are the form shown before

lemma 4.1. By lemma 4.3 the cases that  $(m, P)$  defines an indecomposable equivariant vector bundle are  $(1, \beta, c)$ ,  $(2, \alpha, c)$ ,  $(2, \alpha, d)$ , and  $(2, \beta, c)$ . Clearly these cases determine the vector bundles  $E_I$ ,  $E_{II}$ ,  $E_{III}$ , and  $E_{IV}$  respectively.

REMARK 4.5. *The same technique will determine an equivariant vector bundle where  $X$  is a two-dimensional almost homogeneous variety.*

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] M. Demazure ; Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum de group de Cremona, Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 3(1970) 507-588.
- [ 2 ] T. Kaneyama ; On equivariant vector bundle on an almost homogeneous variety. Nagoya Math. J. 57 (1975) 65-86.
- [ 3 ] G. Kempf et al. ; Toroidal embeddings I, Springer Lecture Notes 339.
- [ 4 ] K. Miyake and T. Oda ; Almost homogeneous algebraic varieties under torus action. Number theory, Algebraic Geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Y. Akizuki (1973), 71-93.